

C.S. 2001

2 3
SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No 6090/2006

PETITIONERS

1. Deepak Kumar Sawanee, Son
of Late Shri Lalji Bhai
Sawanee, aged about 51
years, Additional
Superintendent Engineer,
C.S.E.B. resident of Sunder
Nagar, Raipur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 6124/06
Presented by Shri P. Das
dated 6-11-06

R 12.10.06

2. R.P. Nigam, Son of Late Shri
R.M. Nigam, aged about 52
years, Additional
Superintendent Engineer,
C.S.E.B. resident of
Deendayal Upadhyay Nagar,
Raipur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Chhattisgarh Electricity
Board, through its Chairman
Dangania, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Madhya Pradesh Electricity
Board, through its Secretary,
Shakti Bhawan, Rampur,
Jabalpur (M.P.).



3 (6)

3. L.K.Chouhan, Son of
T.C.Chouhan, aged about 46,
Executive Engineer, Civil
Maintenance Division,
C.S.E.B. Korba (East).
4. K.R.Khoshley, Son of
S.R.Koshley, aged about 48
years, Executive Engineer,
Office of S.E. (Civil) H.T.P.P.S.
C.S.E.B. Korba (West).
5. A.K.Julme, S/o. S.B. Julme,
aged about 47 years,
Executive Engineer (Civil),
Civil Division, C.S.E.B.
Bhilai.
6. M.R.Bagde, Son of G.M.
Bagde, aged about 40 years,
Executive Engineer (Civil),
Civil Division, C.S.E.B.
Raipur (C.G.).
7. D.Nath, Son of P.Rai, aged
about 39 years, Executive
Engineer (Area Stores)
C.S.E.B. Bistrampur Surguja.



4 (2)

8. D.K.Kanth, Son of M.P.
Kanth, aged about 45 years,
Executive Engineer, Office of
S.E.(Civil), C.S.E.B. Tifra,
Bilaspur (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(16)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 6090/2006
सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
29/11/2006 Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Sr. Advocate with Shri Pravin Das, counsel for the petitioners. None for the respondents. Heard. Provisional gradation list has been published by the Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board (henceforth, "CSEB") vide notification dated 18/10/2006 inviting objections within two months. To challenge the said gradation list, petitioners have not filed their objections. When CSEB has issued revised proposed gradation list and thereby objections have been invited, petitioners, if aggrieved, are required to file their objections within the stipulated period. If petitioners submit their objections within stipulated period, the Board shall decide the same within two months from the date of presentation of their objections. In case their objections do not find favour, and they feel dis-satisfied they may, if so desire, challenge the final gradation list or unfavourable order passed against them on their representation. With the aforesaid direction, writ petition is disposed of. In the light of the above order, M.W.P.No.4513/2006, I.A.Nos. 10945/2006 and 10946/2006 stand disposed of.	Sd/- V.K. Shrivastava Judge

(पीछे देखिये)